# **Department of Political Science**

### **PSS455: COMPARATIVE POLITICS: CONCEPTS AND PROCESSES**

## Course Objectives:

- 1. To introduce students to the basic concepts and categories of politics
- 2. To enable students to grasp and compare the working of political systems
- 3. To enable students to understand the significance of comparative methodology
- 4. To enable students to analyse political structures and forms of governance.

#### Course Outcomes: The course will enable equip students to –

- 1. Have clarity in understanding of the political processes in different countries
- 2. Identify and distinguish the functioning of various political systems
- 3. Develop a capacity to assess objectively the outputs of political systems
- 4. Delineate political and social context of politics of various countries.

# **Block I: Introduction and approaches**

- Unit 1 Comparative Politics Nature and significance
- Unit 2 Systems theory, structural functionalism
- Unit 3 Institutionalism, Political Economy approach
- Unit 4 Constitution and constitutionalism

## **Block II: Political structures and forms**

- Unit 5 Rule making and Rule implementation
- Unit 6 Rule adjudication; Judicial review
- Unit 7 Interest articulation and interest aggregation; Party systems
- Unit 8 Electoral systems and Elections

## **Block III: Social context of politics**

- Unit 9 Political Culture and Political Socialization
- Unit 10 Political modernisation and Political Decay
- Unit 11 Political Development
- Unit 12 Political communication

#### **Block IV: Political process**

- Unit 13 Political authority and bureaucracy
- Unit 14 Unitary and Federal government, Local governments
- Unit 15 Legislature and Committee systems
- Unit 16 Lobbying

#### **Basic Reading**

- 1. Almond,G.A., Comparative Politics Today: A World View, 7th edn., New York, London: Harper/Collins, 2000.
- 2. Blondel, Jean., Comparative Government, London: Prentice Hall, 1995
- 3. Hague Rod, Harrap Martine and Breslin Shaun., Comparative Government and Politics : An Introduction, Hampshire: Macmillan, 2004
- 4. O'Neil, Patrick., Essentials of Comparative Politics, New York: W.W. Norton and Co., 2004. 5. Newton Kenneth and Van Deth Jan, Comparative Politics, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- 6. Ray S.N., Modern Comparative Politics: Approaches, Methods and Issues, New Delhi: Prentice Hall, 1999,

#### **Suggested Reading**

- 1. Bebler, A., and Seroka, J., eds., Contemporary Political Systems Classifications and Typologies, Boulder Colorado, Lynne Reinenr, 1990.
- 2. Chilcote, H. Ronald., Theories of Comparative Politics: The search for a Paradigm Reconsidered, Oxford: Westview Press, 1994.
- 3. Chandler, J., Local Government in Liberal Democracies : An Introductory Survey, London and New York: Routledge, 1993.
- 4. Duverger, M., Party Politics and Pressure Groups : A Comparative Introduction, New York: Cornell, 1972.
- 5. Eisenstadt, S.N., Modernization: Protest and Change, Eugle wood Cliffs NJ, Prentice Hall, 1966.
- 6. Easton, D., The Political System: An Inquiry into the State of Political Science, New York,: Alfred A. Knoff, 1953.
- 7. Finer, H., Theory and Practice of Modern Governments, London: Methuen, 1969.
- 8. Holland, K., ed., Judicial Activism in A Comparative Perspective, Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1991.
- 9. Jeffery, Haynes., Comparative Politics in a Globalizing World, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2005.
- 10. Judith, Bara ., and Pennington, Mark., eds., Comparative Politics, Los Angeles: Sage, 2009
- 11. Landman Todd, Issues and Methods in Comparative Politics: An Introduction, London: Routledge, 2000.
- 12. La Palombara and Weiner, M., eds., Political Parties and Political Development, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1966.
- 13. Michels, R., Political Parties, New York: Free Press, 1962.
- 14. Pye L.W., and Verba, S., Political Culture and Development, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1966.
- 15. Sartori, G., Parties and Party System : A Framework for Analysis, Cambridge, CUP, 1976.
- 16. Stephan, A., Arguing Comparative Politics, Oxford: OUP, 2001...